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## Keller's Conjecture

In 1930, Ott-Heinrich Keller conjectured that this phenomenon holds in every dimension.

Keller's Conjecture.
For all $n \geq 1$, every tiling of the $n$-dimensional space with unit cubes has two which fully share a face.


## Dimensions Resolved

- In 1940, Perron proved that Keller's conjecture is true for $1 \leq n \leq 6$.


## Dimensions Resolved

- In 1940, Perron proved that Keller's conjecture is true for $1 \leq n \leq 6$.
- In 1992, Lagarias and Shor showed that Keller's conjecture is false for $n \geq 10$.


## Dimensions Resolved

- In 1940, Perron proved that Keller's conjecture is true for $1 \leq n \leq 6$.
- In 1992, Lagarias and Shor showed that Keller's conjecture is false for $n \geq 10$.
- In 2002, Mackey showed that Keller's conjecture is false for $n \geq 8$.


## Dimensions Resolved

- In 1940, Perron proved that Keller's conjecture is true for $1 \leq n \leq 6$.
- In 1992, Lagarias and Shor showed that Keller's conjecture is false for $n \geq 10$.
- In 2002, Mackey showed that Keller's conjecture is false for $n \geq 8$.

What about dimension 7?
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Theorem (Brakensiek, Heule, Mackey, and Narváez, 2020).
Keller's conjecture is true in dimension 7.

- Ends the 90 year quest to resolve Keller's conjecture in all dimensions.
- Proof involves resolving a maximum clique question about Keller graphs using SAT solving.
- The SAT formula is very difficult to solve, required extensive symmetry breaking.
- Total proof size is over 200 gigabytes! Verified by a proof checker.
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- We define the Keller graph $G_{n, s}$ to has $(2 s)^{n}$ vertices/cubes. Each has $n$ dimensions/dots have one of $2 s$ colors which come in $s$ complementary pairs: e.g. black/white and red/green.
- Two vertices are adjacent if and only if 1) at least one corresponding dimension/dot has a complementary pair of colors; and 2) they differ in at least two dimensions/dots.

- Corrádi and Szabó's work (1990) showed that there is a counterexample to Keller's conjecture in some dimension $n$ if one can show $G_{n, s}$ has a clique of size $2^{n}$.

From Keller's Conjecture to Graph Theory: $G_{2,2}$
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## Toward Resolving Dimension 7

- In 2011, Debroni, Eblen, Langston, Myrvold, Shor and Weerapurage showed that the largest clique in $G_{7,2}$ has size 124 .
- To confirm Keller's conjecture in dimension 7, one needs to prove that $G_{7,64}$ does not have a clique of size $2^{7}=128$.
- Between 2013 and 2017, Łysakowska and Kisielewicz showed that if one of $G_{7,3}, G_{7,4}$ or $G_{7,6}$ has no clique of size $2^{7}$, then Keller's conjecture is true in dimension 7.
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## Succinct Encoding: Constraints

- We build a clique by picking a vertex from each group.
- Variables: $x_{v, d, c}$ encodes vertex picked from group $v$ at dimension/dot $d$ has color $c$.

Constraints:

- First, every dimension/dot must have exactly one color.
- Second, each pair of vertices should have complementary colors in some dimension/dot.
- Third, each pair of vertices should have different colors in some other dimension/dot.

Using auxiliary variables, these expressions can be encoded as succinct propositional formulas.

## Encoding Size

| Keller Graph | Cube Count | Variable Count | Clause Count |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $G_{7,3}$ | 279936 | 39424 | 200320 |
| $G_{7,4}$ | 2097152 | 43008 | 265728 |
| $G_{7,6}$ | 35831808 | 50176 | 399232 |

the number of clauses is smaller than the number of cubes
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- Checking the redundancy of a clause in polynomial time
- Clausal proofs are easy to emit from modern SAT solvers
- Symmetry breaking can be expressed using clausal proofs
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This problem becomes trivial after symmetry breaking
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3. Enumerate and filter all options for the rainbow dimensions/dots

## Case Split

Given the cubes, in how many ways can we color rainbow dots?
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Worst case for $r$ rainbow dots without symmetry breaking is $s^{r}$
With filtering using symmetry breaking these can be reduced to:

- $s=3: 21525$ (instead of $3^{13}=1594323$ )
- $s=4$ : 37128 (instead of $4^{13}=67108864$ )
- $s=6: 38584$ (instead of $6^{13}=13060694016$ )

We express this symmetry breaking in the clausal proof
One case was very hard and we split it into smaller cases
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## Experimental Setup

- Each case is solved using CaDiCaL
- Parallel execution on Xeon E5-2690 processors with 24 cores
- CaDiCaL emits proofs in the DRAT format
- DRAT proofs are optimized using DRAT-trim
- The formally-verified ACL2check certifies the optimized proofs
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## Conclusions

We resolved the remaining case of Keller's conjecture

- No clique of size 128 in $G_{7,3}, G_{7,4}$, and $G_{7,6}$
- Designed a SAT compact encoding
- Combined parallel SAT solver and symmetry breaking
- Constructed a clausal proof of unsatisfiability
- Certified the proof with a formally-verified checker
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Open questions:

- What is the largest clique in $G_{7,3}, G_{7,4}, G_{7,6}$ ?
- Is the clique of 256 in $G_{8,2}$ unique (modulo symmetries)?
- Why is there a transition between dimensions 7 and 8?

Fin: A Clique of Size 256 in $G_{8,2}$ (Mackey, 2002)
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